AAT
2-2 Linear Models

Name $\qquad$
Date $\qquad$
Goal: Find the line of best fit (line of regression) and use the sum of squared residuals as a measure of that fit.

Notes
Questions

## Linear function:

## Linear model:

Exact Model Almost Exact Model Impressionistic Model

Fit data "by eye": using a straight edge, draw a trend line of the data:






| Weight $x$ | Price $y$ (U.S. \$) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0.18 | 702.00 |
| 0.17 | 517.50 |
| 0.25 | 963.00 |
| 0.29 | 1290.00 |
| 0.27 | 1080.00 |
| 0.15 | 484.50 |
| 0.20 | 747.00 |
| 0.25 | 1017.00 |
| 0.21 | 724.50 |
| 0.17 | 529.50 |
| 0.35 | 1629.00 |
| 0.33 | 1417.50 |
| 0.26 | 994.50 |
| 0.16 | 513.00 |
| 0.12 | 334.50 |
| 0.18 | 664.50 |
| 0.15 | 430.50 |
| 0.16 | 507.00 |
| 0.16 | 498.00 |
| 0.23 | 829.50 |

a. Find the equation of the graphed line which relates weight and price.
b. Interpret the equation of the line in context of the problem.
c. Why is the model not good for predicting the cost of a 0.05 carat diamond ring?
d. Why is the set of data not a function?

## Interpolation vs extrapolation

Practice: The gold medal winning times for the men's 100-meter dash
Questions are listed below for the last 20 Summer Olympic Games.
a. The data were graphed and a line fit "by eye" passed through the points (1972, $10.15)$ and (2004, 9.8). Find the equation of this linear model to relate the year and the winning time.
b. Interpret the slope of your line in the context of the problem.

| City | Year | Winning <br> Time(s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beijing | 2008 | 9.69 |
| Athens | 2004 | 9.85 |
| Sydney | 2000 | 9.87 |
| Atlanta | 1996 | 9.84 |
| Barcelona | 1992 | 9.96 |
| Seoul | 1988 | 9.92 |
| Los Angeles | 1984 | 9.99 |
| Moscow | 1980 | 10.25 |
| Montreal | 1976 | 10.06 |
| Munich | 1972 | 10.14 |
| Mexico City | 1968 | 9.95 |
| Tokyo | 1964 | 10.0 |
| Rome | 1960 | 10.2 |
| Melbourne | 1956 | 10.5 |
| Helsinki | 1952 | 10.4 |
| London | 1948 | 10.3 |
| Berlin | 1936 | 10.3 |
| Los Angeles | 1932 | 10.3 |
| Amsterdam | 1928 | 10.8 |
| Paris | 1924 | 10.6 |

c. Use the model to predict the winning times for 2012 (London) and 2016 (Rio de Janeiro). Then research and compare your results.
d. Usain Bolt of Jamaica won the $100-\mathrm{m}$ dash at the Beijing 2008 Olympic games in a record of 9.69 seconds. Based on the linear model, when "should" that occur?

## Measuring How Well a Lines Models Data

The average of a set of data helps us to see what the data tends to do. In other words, what kinds of numbers we expect. Similarly, a linear model gives us an expectation of value and can see how well the observed data compares to the expected data by calculating the
$\qquad$ (by $\qquad$ ), then
___ and ___ Diamond Ring Prices by Weight of Diamond

| Weight | Price <br> $(\$)$ | Predicted <br> $(y=2400 x+400)$ | Residuals | Square of <br> Residual |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.15 | 484.50 |  |  |  |
| 0.16 | 507.00 |  |  |  |
| 0.18 | 702.00 |  |  |  |
| 0.25 | 963.00 |  |  |  |
| 0.27 | 1080.00 |  |  |  |
| 0.33 | 1417.50 |  | Sum of <br> Squares of <br> Residuals: |  |
| 0.23 | 829.50 |  |  |  |

## Definition of Sum of Square Residuals

Sum of squared residuals $=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\text { observed } y_{i}-\text { predicted } y_{i}\right)^{2}$


Total area of the squares $\approx 237,800$

Linear Model 2
Squares are shown for a line through two of the data points.


Total area of the squares $\approx 59,870$

The second line is a better model of the data because it has a smaller total area of the squares. The total area is the sum of squared residuals.

| Country | TVs per <br> $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | Unemployed <br> per 100 | Predicted <br> $y=-0.3 x+17$ | Residual | Square of <br> Residual |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Argentina | 22.3 | 7.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bulgaria | 40 | 6.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| India | 6.5 | 6.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Israel | 29.9 | 6.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Netherlands | 51.8 | 4.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New <br> Zealand | 52.3 | 4.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ploan | 33.7 | 9.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| South Africa | 12.3 | 21.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| South Korea | 34.7 | 3.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Sum of Squares of <br> Residuals: |  |  |  |  |  |


| Now, compare with a different model: $y=-0.167 x+13$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Country | TVs <br> per <br> $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | Unemployed <br> per 100 | Predicted <br> $y=-0.167 x+13$ | Residual | Square <br> of <br> Residual |
| Argentina | 22.3 | 7.8 |  |  |  |
| Bulgaria | 40 | 6.3 |  |  |  |
| India | 6.5 | 6.8 |  |  |  |
| Israel | 29.9 | 6.1 |  |  |  |
| Netherlands | 51.8 | 4.5 |  |  |  |
| New <br> Zealand | 52.3 | 4.0 |  |  |  |
| Ploan | 33.7 | 9.7 |  |  |  |
| South Africa | 12.3 | 21.7 | Sum of Squares <br> of Residuals: |  |  |
| South Korea | 34.7 | 3.2 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

